E 70.	•	Application Number	09/068,270			
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	May 4, 1988			
FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		First Named Inventor	Kenji UCHIYAMA			
		Group Art Unit	2822			
RADEMARIT		Examiner Name	James Mitchell			
otal Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	9319S-000062			
	ENCLO	OSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		ment Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group			
⊠ Fee Attached	☐ Drawin	g(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Response	Licensi	ng-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final	Petition	1	Proprietary Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		n to Convert to a onal Application	Status Letter			
Extension of Time Request		Attorney, Revocation Other Enclosure(s) of Correspondence Address  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
		al Disclaimer	Return Receipt Postcard			
Express Abandonment Request		st for Refund				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement ☐ CD, No.		ımber of CD(s)				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Rema		rks	0 2 A			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNAT	URE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, O	R AGENT			
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey & F		Attorney Name	Reg. No. 37,885			
Signature 1 ) C M	9-					
100	2002					
CE	RTIFICAT	TE OF MAILING/TRANSMIS	SION			
	id Trademai		al Service as first class mail in an envelope facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and			
Typed or printed name David A. McCla						

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, not possible are required to respond to a collection of information units displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TO ANCMITTAL	Complete if Known						
FEE TRANSMITTAL	Application Number	09/068,270					
🧞 for FY 2002	Filing Date	Mary 4, 1988					
Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor	Kenji UCHIYAMA					
	Examiner Name	James Mitchell					
<u>*</u>	Group / Art Unit	2822					
MAQUAL OF BAYMENT (\$) 756	AMAGE BARRANA	03105-000063					

Check	METHOD OF PAVMENT (shook all that apply)					EEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Deposit Account:	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)			<del></del>	FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
Deposit   Account   Number				3. AD	Large	_ FEES					
Account Number   Deposit Account   Number   Deposit Account   Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.   139   130   139   130   139   130   Non-English specification	☐ Deposit Accoun	nt:							Fee Description		
Deposit					105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
Deposit   Account   Name					127	50	227	25			
Account Name    Hamess, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.   147   2,930   147   2,530   For fiting a request for reexamination of SIR prior to Examine action   112   920   920   112   920   920   112   920   920   112   920   920   112   920	Damasia.	1 .			139	130	139	130	Non-English specification		
Name		Harness Dicks	av & Pierce P.I.C	l	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination		
Charge fee(s) indicated below		Trainess, Dicke	y & Tielce, T.L.C.		112	920*	112	920*			
Charge enty additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application   Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.    115					113	1,840*	113	1,840*			
The above-identified deposit account.					115	110	215	55			
117   920   217   480   Extension for reply within third month   Extension for reply within third month   Extension for reply within fourth   month									Extension for reply within second		
1. BASIC FILING FEE   Large   Entity   Small   Entity   Fee   Fe	FEE CALCULATION			117	920	217	460				
Fee   Code   (\$)   Code   Cod				7	118	1,440	218		Extension for reply within fourth		
Code (\$) Code (\$) Code (\$)		-	Fee Description		128	1,960	228	980			
101	Code (\$) C	ode (\$)		Fee Paid	119	320	219		···		
103   330   206   165   Design filling fee   108   740   208   370   Reissue filling fee   138   1,510   138   1	101 740 20	01 370	Utility filing fee		120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
107   510   207   255   Plant filing fee   108   740   208   370   Reissue filing fee   140   110   240   55   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   241   640   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   242   640   Utility issue fee (or reissue)   144   620   244   310   Plant issue fee   144   620   624   310   Plant issue fee   122   130   122   130   Plant issue fee   122   130   Pl	103 330 20	06 165	Design filing fee		121	280	221	140			
140   110   240   55   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   241   640   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   241   640   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   241   640   Petition to revive – unavoidable   141   1,280   241   640   Petition to revive – unavoidable   142   1,280   242   640   Utility issue fee (or reissue)   143   460   243   230   Design issue fee   144   620   244   310   Plant issue fee   14			-		138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use		
SUBTOTAL (1)   Signature   Subtotal (2)   Signature   Signature   Subtotal (2)   Signature   Signatu	114 160 21		_		140	110	240	55	•		
SUBTOTAL (1)   S   0   142   1,280   242   640   Utility issue fee (or reissue)					141	1,280	241	640			
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES    Extra		SUBTOTA	L (1)	(\$) 0	142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
Extra   Fee from   Fee below   Fee   Paid	2 EXTRACLAIM	EEEC			143	460	243	230			
Total Claims	2. EXTRA CLAIM	FLLS	Extra Fee from	n Fee	144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee		
Independent Claims  11 -5 ** = 6					122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		
11   -5 **   = 6	Total Claims 24	-20 ** =	4 X 18	= 72	123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)		
Substotal   Subs		-5 ** =	6 X 84	= 504	126	180	126	180		180	
Fee Fee Fee (\$) Fee Description  103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20 102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid  109 84 209 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent  110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576   146 740 246 370 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))  149 740 249 370 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))  149 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)  169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application  179 740 279 370 Request for expedited examination of a design application  180 84 209 42 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  190 84 200 84 200 85 86 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86			x	= 0	581	40	581	40	per property (times number of		
Tee   Fee   Fee   Code   (\$)   Code   (\$)   Fee Description   (\$)   Code   Cod			у		146	740	246	370			
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20 102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 109 84 209 42 "Reissue independent claims over original patent 110 18 210 9 "Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576  Total in excess of 20 examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b)) 179 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application  Other fee (specify)  *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180			Fee Description		149	740	240	370	(37 CFR § 1.129(a))		
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 109 84 209 42 *** Reissue independent claims over original patent 110 18 210 9 *** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  **SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576  179 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application  Other fee (specify)  **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180	103 18	203 9	Claims in excess of	20	149	/40	249	3/0			
109 84 209 42 ** Reissue independent claim, in hot paid 110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576  169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application  Other fee (specify)  *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180			Independent claims	in excess of 3	4==	746				<del></del>	
110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576  The design application of a design application  Other fee (specify)  *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180	104 280	204 140	Multiple dependent of	laim, if not paid	179	740	279	370	Hequest for Continued Examination (RCE)		
over original patent  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 576  Other fee (specify)  *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180	109 84	209 42		ent claims over	169	900	169	900			
*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$\) 180	110 18	210 9		excess of 20 and							
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above **Peduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid **SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180		s	UBTOTAL (2) (\$) 5	76	Other fo	e (specif	y)	-			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	**or number previo	ously paid, if gr	eater; For Reissues, s	see above	*Redu	ced by Ba	sic Filin	g Fee Pa	aid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 180		

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)								
Name (Print/Type)	David A. McClaughry	Registration No. Attorney/Agent)	37,885	Telephone	248-641-1600			
Signature	DIGMIL			Date	April <u>8</u> , 2002			



**PATENT** 

#14/C

NTHE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/068,270

Filing Date:

May 4, 1998

Applicant:

Kenji UCHIYAMA

Group Art Unit:

2822

Examiner:

James Mitchell

Title:

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE CONNECTING

STRUCTURE, LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY UNIT

BASED ON THE SAME CONNECTING STRUCTURE, AND ELECTRONIC APPARATUS USING THE SAME

**DISPLAY UNIT** 

Attorney Docket:

9319S-000062

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT WITH SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT

Sir:

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed March 8, 2002 and further to Applicant's reply mailed December 5, 2001. Applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group I (claims 1-8 and 11-17), with traverse.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider his Restriction Requirement. This application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 371 based on a PCT application. Only unity of invention is required. Unity was found at the international level as evidenced by the international search report. Further, both groups of claims are drawn to subject matter

04/16/2002 CV0111 00000009 09068270

01 FC:102 02 FC:103 504.00 OP 72.00 OP